

# FROM THE ABYSS OF LONELINESS TO THE BLISS OF SOLITUDE

Cultural, Social and Psychoanalytic  
Perspectives

*Edited by*

*Aleksandar Dimitrijević  
and Michael B. Buchholz*



PHOENIX  
PUBLISHING HOUSE  
*firing the mind*

First published in 2022 by  
Phoenix Publishing House Ltd  
62 Bucknell Road  
Bicester  
Oxfordshire OX26 2DS

Copyright © 2022 to Aleksandar Dimitrijević and Michael B. Buchholz for the edited collection, and to the individual authors for their contributions.

Cover image: *Tresor* by Eleni Paltoglou-Blümel. Reprinted with the kind permission of the artist.

The rights of the contributors to be identified as the authors of this work have been asserted in accordance with §§ 77 and 78 of the Copyright Design and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The publisher has endeavoured to obtain all of the copyrights for the illustrations. Notwithstanding, if unintentionally there are any outstanding claims from a copyright holder can they please contact the publisher.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A C.I.P. for this book is available from the British Library

Paperback ISBN-13: 978-1-80013-109-5

Typeset by Medlar Publishing Solutions Pvt Ltd, India



[www.firingthemind.com](http://www.firingthemind.com)

# Contents

Acknowledgements	ix
About the editors and contributors	xi
Editors' introduction	xvii

## **Part I**

### **Philosophy and culture**

Introduction to Part I <i>Aleksandar Dimitrijević</i>	3
1. The hidden sociality of the solitary subject: phenomenological and psychoanalytical reflections on loneliness <i>Jagna Brudzińska</i>	5
2. “And live alone in the bee-loud glade”? Asceticism, hermitage, and the technologies of the self <i>Colum Kenny</i>	19
3. Lone wolves' loneliness—about a special variant of terrorism <i>Michael B. Buchholz</i>	31

4. Historical roots of solitude and private self (with continual reference to Shakespeare) 57  
*Aleksandar Dimitrijević*

## **Part II**

### **Art and literature**

- Introduction to Part II 71  
*Michael B. Buchholz*
5. Loneliness and solitude: historical transformations of meaning and their expression in art, especially in music 77  
*Helga de la Motte-Haber*
6. Myth of the solitary artist 103  
*Aleksandar Dimitrijević*
7. Places of loneliness 121  
*Karin Dannecker*
8. Seven kinds of loneliness: mental pain, language, and interaction in David Rabe's play *Hurlyburly* 147  
*Dominic Angeloch*

## **Part III**

### **Developmental psychology and health**

- Introduction to Part III 169  
*Michael B. Buchholz*
9. Loneliness and imaginary friends in childhood and adolescence 173  
*Inge Seiffge-Krenke*
10. Loneliness and insecure attachment 185  
*Aleksandar Dimitrijević*
11. Epidemiology of loneliness 201  
*Eva M. Klein, Mareike Ernst, Manfred E. Beutel, and Elmar Brähler*

12. Loneliness and health <i>Gamze Özçürümez Bilgili</i>	213
13. Loneliness and the brain <i>Gamze Özçürümez Bilgili</i>	227
<b>Part IV</b>	
<b>Psychoanalysis</b>	
Introduction to Part IV <i>Aleksandar Dimitrijević</i>	241
14. The silent cry, the maze of pipes, the mice, and the cellar: the many voices of infantile loneliness <i>Patrizia Arfelli</i>	243
15. Landscapes of loneliness: engaging with Frieda Fromm-Reichmann's pioneering work <i>Gail A. Hornstein</i>	255
16. Loneliness and being alone: the contributions of two British analysts <i>Lesley Caldwell</i>	267
17. Traumatic aloneness in children with narcissistically preoccupied parents <i>Jay Frankel</i>	279
18. The clinical encounter with the lonely patient: trauma and the empty self <i>Charles Ashbach</i>	295
19. Shame and its cover-up: the self-enclosed prison of isolation <i>Peter Shabad</i>	307
20. Strengthening the human bond: in psychotherapy, "doing We" is more important than intervention <i>Michael B. Buchholz</i>	319
Index	341

## Acknowledgements

This book about loneliness was finished in a mere eleven months. What is far more important is that working on it did not include a single trace of loneliness. Not only have the two of us met regularly and worked in good humour and providing inspiring feedback and support to one another, but the same was the spirit of our exchange with many people we would like to thank here explicitly.

Jay Frankel and Salman Akhtar were the first with whom we discussed the project and individual ideas, and they provided many important recommendations and suggestions. James Anderson also helped with fruitful ideas.

Before the actual beginning, Carolina Gehrke Gus, then still a student-assistant, helped us with initial literature searches, organisation of databases, and useful suggestions.

From the very first meeting, we felt Kate Pearce understood our project, and throughout our working process, she kept showing extraordinary flexibility and support, always having the quality of the book as her first priority. We could not have wished for a better publisher.

We are, naturally, most thankful to our contributors, who granted us their years of experience, knowledge, time, and patience in dealing with our requests for revisions. Some of them are also old friends, and we are particularly happy to have made new ones while thinking together.

Alma Schlegel, also a student-assistant, read all the accepted versions, formatted reference lists, and pointed out the passages that could have been written more clearly.

Throughout it all, we were also supported by a host of invisible friends who are not mentioned anywhere in the book: Rembrandt, Aby Warburg, A. C. Bradley, Mikhail Bulgakov, Miroslav Timotijević, Herbert Stein ...

To all of them, our most sincere thanks.

## About the editors and contributors

### Editors

**Michael B. Buchholz** is professor of social psychology at International Psychoanalytic University (IPU), Berlin (Germany). He is a psychologist and social scientist and a fully trained psychoanalyst. He is head of the doctorate programme at IPU and chair of the social psychology department. He has published more than twenty books and more than 350 scientific papers on topics like analysis of therapeutic metaphors and therapeutic conversation, including supervisory process, and he has contributed to psychoanalytic treatment technique, theory, and history. He has conducted conversation analysis studies on group therapy with sexual offenders, about therapeutic “contact scenarios” and on therapeutic empathy. His actual interests are the study of therapeutic talk-in-interaction using conversation analysis. Together with Anssi Peräkylä (Helsinki) he is editing a “Frontiers in psychology” research topic “Talking and Cure—What’s really going on in psychotherapy”.

**Aleksandar Dimitrijević**, PhD, is a clinical psychologist and psychoanalyst. He works as a lecturer at the International Psychoanalytic University and in private practice in Berlin. He has given lectures, seminars, university courses, and conference presentations throughout Europe and in the US. He is author of many conceptual and empirical papers about attachment theory and research, psychoanalytic education, and psychoanalysis and the arts, some of which have been translated into German, Hungarian, Italian, Slovenian, Spanish, and Turkish. He has also edited or co-edited eleven books or special journal issues, the most recent of which are *Ferenczi’s Influence on Contemporary Psychoanalytic Traditions* (with Gabriele Cassullo and Jay Frankel, 2018) and *Silence and Silencing in Psychoanalysis* (with Michael B. Buchholz, 2020).

## Contributors

**Dominic Angeloch**, Priv.-Doz. Dr. phil., is managing editor of the German monthly psychoanalytic journal *Psyche* and lecturer in comparative literature at the Goethe-University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. He studied philosophy, Romance languages, and general and comparative literature in Heidelberg, Paris, and Berlin. He gained his doctorate with a thesis on the methodology of psychoanalytic aesthetics and Gustave Flaubert at the LMU, Munich: “*Die Beziehung zwischen Text und Leser. Grundlagen und Methodik psychoanalytischen Lesens. Mit einer Lektüre von Flauberts ‘Éducation sentimentale’*” (Gießen 2014). His habilitation was on “Experience and Poetics of Knowledge. On Conditions of the Transformation of Experience into Literature and of Literature into Experience in Wilfred Bion and George Orwell” at Goethe-University, Frankfurt (2020). The first of two books out of this context appears in March 2022: *Die Wahrheit Schreiben. George Orwell: Entwicklung und Methode seines Erzählens* (Edition Tiamat, Berlin). For a list of publications and activities see: [https://uni-frankfurt.de/85325555/PD\\_Dr\\_\\_Dominic\\_Angeloch](https://uni-frankfurt.de/85325555/PD_Dr__Dominic_Angeloch).

**Patrizia Arfelli**, MD, is a child neuropsychiatrist, and an adolescent and child psychoanalytical psychotherapist, Tavistock trained. She worked as department head in the Inpatient Adolescent Division of the Child Neuropsychiatry Division of Turin University, where she received extensive experience working with suicidal patients. She was also a court consultant in cases of abused children, and was a lecturer at the Postgraduate School of Clinical Psychology, Turin University. She is currently a lecturer in child neuropsychiatry at the Department of Psychology, Aosta University. She translated into Italian Eric Rayner’s *The Independent Mind in British Psychoanalysis*, and *The Inner World and Joan Riviere* edited by Athol Hughes. She works in private practice with children, adolescents, and parents, and is particularly interested in traumatised and deprived patients and in adoptive children and families.

**Charles Ashbach**, PhD, is a clinical psychologist in private practice in the Philadelphia area. He is a founding faculty member of the International Psychotherapy Institute (IPI) and director of the IPI Philadelphia affiliate, Philadelphia Psychotherapy Study Center (PPSC). He is co-author of the book *Object Relations, the Self and the Group* as well as co-author of *Suffering and Sacrifice in the Clinical Encounter*. His interests include the reworking of the concept of narcissism, the psychoanalytic study of war, and the problem of intense resistance.

**Manfred E. Beutel** is director of the Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy at the University Medical Center Mainz (since 2004), including the postgraduate training programme for psychodynamic psychotherapy (since 2010). His main research interests include psychotherapy research, psycho-oncology, and determinants of health and illness in the general population.



**Elmar Brähler** is scientific advisor at the Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy at the University Medical Center Mainz (since 2013). Earlier he was director of the Department of Medical Psychology and Sociology at the University of Leipzig. Some of his main research areas are psychodiagnostics and gender-specific and social aspects of health and illness.

**Jagna Brudzińska** is professor of philosophy at the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Polish Academy of Sciences where she is head of the Research Group “Philosophical Anthropology and Social Philosophy”. At the Husserl-Archive of the University of Cologne she is research fellow and editor of the critical edition of Edmund Husserl’s last work, *Experience and Judgment*, for the book series Husserliana. Her main research fields are Husserlian phenomenology, modern theory of subjectivity, theoretical psychology, psychoanalysis, and social theory. She is author of: *Bi-Valenz der Erfahrung. Assoziation, Imaginäres und Trieb in der Genesis der Subjektivität bei Husserl und Freud* (Springer, 2019); co-editor of *Founding Psychoanalysis Phenomenologically. Phenomenological Theory of Subjectivity and the Psychoanalytical Experience* (Springer, 2011), and author of numerous articles. She is also a professional psychologist working in the area of psychoanalysis and qualitative research.

**Lesley Caldwell** is a psychoanalyst of the BPA in private practice in London. She is honorary professor in the Psychoanalysis Unit at University College London where she has taught and supervised on master’s and doctoral programmes and from 2010 to 2016 coordinated the unit’s interdisciplinary programme. With Helen Taylor Robinson, she is joint general editor of *The Collected Works of D. W. Winnicott* (OUP, 2016) and is responsible for the more than one hundred extra entries added to the online edition of the CW and freely available as Volume 12 on the OUP website. Her most recent publications address the body, analytic communication and silence, and transitionality.

**Karin Dannecker**, Prof. Dr. phil. habil., director of the art therapy MA programme at the Weißensee Kunsthochschule, Berlin. She works clinically as art therapist with psychiatric and psychosomatic patients. She has researched and published about the efficacy of art therapy. Her books include *Kunst, Symbol und Seele* (Peter Lang, 2015, 4th edition), *Psyche und Ästhetik. Die Transformationen der Kunsttherapie* (Medizinisch Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft, 2021, 4th edition). She is the editor of *Internationale Perspektiven der Kunsttherapie* (Nausner & Nausner, 2003); *Art Therapies and New Challenges in Psychiatry* (Routledge, 2018); co-editor of *Kunst Außenseiter Kunst* (together with Wolfram Voigtländer) (Kunsthochschule Weißensee, 2011), *Warum Kunst?* (together with Uwe Herrmann) (Medizinisch Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft, 2017).

**Mareike Ernst** is a research psychologist at the Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy at the University Medical Center Mainz and principal investigator of the project TASC (“Together against suicidal ideation and behavior in cancer patients”). She is also a psychodynamic psychotherapist in training. Her main research areas are early adversity, psycho-oncology, psychotherapy research, and suicide prevention.

**Jay Frankel**, PhD, is an adjunct clinical associate professor, and clinical consultant, in the New York University Postdoctoral Program in Psychotherapy and Psychoanalysis; associate editor, and previously executive editor, of the journal *Psychoanalytic Dialogues*; co-author (with Neil Altman, Richard Briggs, Daniel Gensler, and Pasqual Pantone) of *Relational Child Psychotherapy* (Other Press, 2002); co-editor (with Aleksandar Dimitrijević and Gabriele Cassullo) of *Ferenczi’s Influence on Contemporary Psychoanalytic Traditions* (Routledge, 2018); and author of three dozen journal articles and book chapters, and numerous conference presentations, on topics including the work of Sándor Ferenczi, trauma, identification with the aggressor, authoritarianism and mass submission to authority, the analytic relationship, play as inherent to the therapy process, child psychotherapy, relational psychoanalysis, and others.

**Gail A. Hornstein** is professor emerita of psychology at Mount Holyoke College, Massachusetts, USA. Her research centres on the contemporary history and practices of psychology, psychiatry, and psychoanalysis, and her articles and opinion pieces have appeared in many scholarly and popular publications. She is author of two books: *To Redeem One Person Is to Redeem the World: The Life of Frieda Fromm-Reichmann*, which questions standard assumptions about treatment through the story of a pioneering psychiatrist, and *Agnes’s Jacket: A Psychologist’s Search for the Meanings of Madness*, which shows how the insights of people diagnosed with psychosis can challenge fundamental assumptions about mental health, community, and human experience. Her *Bibliography of First-Person Narratives of Madness in English*, now in its fifth edition with more than 1,000 titles, is used internationally by educators, clinicians, and peer organisations. She directs the Hearing Voices Research Project (a national research and training effort in the US, supported by the Foundation for Excellence in Mental Health Care), and speaks widely about mental health issues across the US, UK, and Europe.

**Colum Kenny**, BCL, PhD, is a barrister and professor emeritus at Dublin City University, where he developed a module in belief and communication. During 2020 he created the website earthact.ie, a resource for self-starting climate groups. He has been a member of the Irish broadcasting regulator and a founding board member of the EU Media Desk in Ireland. His books include *Moments that Changed Us: Ireland after 1973* (Gill & Macmillan, Dublin, 2005) and *The Power of Silence: Silent Communication in Daily Life* (Karnac, London, 2011, & Geulnurim, Seoul, 2016).

**Eva M. Klein**, PhD, is a psychoanalytic psychotherapist and research psychologist, and a Post-Doc in the DFG research training group “Life Sciences—Life writing” at the University Medical Center Mainz. Her main research areas of interest are migration and mental health, the role of recalled childhood experiences in psychopathology, and the psychometric evaluation of questionnaires in the general population.

**Helga de la Motte-Haber**, who studied psychology and later musicology, gained a doctorate at the University of Hamburg. From 1972 to 1978 she held a professorship at the Pädagogische Hochschule in Cologne, and from 1978 to 2004 at the Technische Universität, Berlin. In 2005 she was honorary professor of the University of Music in Hannover. In 1983 she founded the German Society of Psychology of Music. In 2015 she was awarded an honorary doctorate by the Hochschule für Musik und Darstellenden Kunst. She has written books on the psychology of music, sound art, and the music of the twentieth century.

**Gamze Özçürümez Bilgili** is a psychiatrist and a psychoanalytic psychotherapist. She is a professor and head of the Department of Psychiatry at Başkent University Faculty of Medicine, and guest lecturer at International Psychoanalytic University, Berlin. She is past secretary general of the Psychiatric Association of Turkey (2011–2013). She has been in training at Istanbul Psychoanalytic Association since 2013, and a member of Istanbul Psychoanalytic Association and Ankara Contemporary Psychoanalytic Psychotherapies Association. Her main fields of research and publication are psychoanalytic psychotherapy, psychoanalytic theory and literature, psychosomatics, trauma, mood disorders, refugee mental health, and consultation liaison psychiatry.

**Inge Seiffge-Krenke** is professor of developmental psychology and has worked at several German and international universities. Her research interests include stress, coping, relationship development, identity, and psychopathology. She was the head of several international studies on these topics that took place in twenty-one countries. Trained as a psychoanalyst for children, adolescents, and adults, and working in diverse in- and outpatient institutions, it is particularly important for her to integrate developmental and clinical perspectives in her research.

**Peter Shabad**, PhD, is clinical associate professor in the Department of Psychiatry at Northwestern University Medical School. He is on the faculty of the Chicago Institute for Psychoanalysis and the core faculty of the Chicago Center for Psychoanalysis (CCP). He is an associate editor at *Psychoanalytic Dialogues*. Dr Shabad is co-editor of *The Problem of Loss and Mourning: Psychoanalytic Perspectives* (IUP, 1989) and is the author of *Despair and the Return of Hope: Echoes of Mourning in Psychotherapy* (Aronson, 2001). Dr Shabad is currently working on a

new book entitled *Seizing the Vital Moment: Passion, Shame, and Mourning*, to be published by Routledge. He is the author of numerous papers and book chapters on diverse topics such as the psychological implications of death, loss and mourning, giving and receiving, shame, parental envy, resentment, spite, and regret. Dr Shabad has a private practice in Chicago in psychoanalysis and psychoanalytic therapy.

## Editors' introduction

Loneliness is one of a handful of phenomena experienced by everyone and the cause of a host of troubles in everyday lives of millions of people around the globe. It is undeniably connected to emotional pain, social maladjustment, health conditions, especially cardiovascular, and life expectancy—all in the negative. Orphanages from Communist Romania have proven, hopefully for the last time, that growing up in social isolation has disastrous consequences for children's development, both cognitive and emotional. A Harvard-based study (Waldinger, 2015) that followed subjects from early childhood into their mid-eighties found that the best predictor of happiness in old age was the quality and richness of social life in middle age.

It is an uncanny coincidence that this book was co-edited and written partly during the pandemic and lockdowns of 2020 and 2021. So many people suffered from loneliness, while others enjoyed their solitude, and many epidemiological studies were published about the ways the pandemic increased the experience of this tormenting mental state. Although loneliness has always been here and bothered many individuals, it now came to the foreground and it seems everyone became aware of its importance.

Aristotle, and John Bowlby, and everyone in between, had the same attitude towards the issue of loneliness: that it is against human nature, dangerous, tolerable only by a select few. We often feel that it is a form of punishment and develop strategies to avoid it; countless works of art are devoted to describing how it changes us. We must not forget, however, all those creative spirits who search for temporary aloneness that will provide a setting for concentration and dedication. Be it an ascetic attempt to control the body and purify the soul or the scientific or artistic absorption by the newest inspiration, many have felt that only inner solitude and social isolation can provide the subtlest and most fragile concentration necessary for bringing the work to fruition. Solitude also has the aura of a state not many people are capable of attaining,

and they are, probably at the same time, revered and envied. We do not really know how to develop it, despite many religious and spiritual approaches that have spent centuries in refining effective yet cautious approaches to it. And it seems particularly under threat now, when our everyday lives are bombarded by countless messages and superficial contacts.

Psychoanalytic consulting rooms are equally full of loneliness as the world around them is, if not even more. Psychoanalysts listen about the pain of loneliness every day and are offering themselves as “companions in solitude” to help their patients learn how to use their alone time in the most beneficial way. There is a widespread belief that loneliness is the most fundamental problem every mental health patient suffers from, although its manifestations may differ. At least three classical psychoanalytic papers were devoted to loneliness, yet they were all written in the late 1950s. Is there anything contemporary psychoanalysis can add to this?

Sadly, psychoanalysts write very little about loneliness and even less about solitude. It is an interesting phenomenon that Freud barely mentioned loneliness, and many dictionaries of psychoanalysis do not have entries for either loneliness or solitude. In the *International Dictionary of Psychoanalysis* (Mijolla, 2005), we, surprisingly, found not more than ten mentions of “loneliness”, but not a separate entry, and the situation is similar in Salman Akhtar’s *Comprehensive Dictionary of Psychoanalysis* (2009). Both concepts are also barely present on the PEP-Web when one looks for papers explicitly focused on them, although it is more frequently mentioned: “There are few publications that are dedicated to studying loneliness but it remains a powerful descriptor in our literature” (Lynch, 2013, p. xv).

Two collections of psychoanalytic essays were published in the last ten years, *Loneliness and Longing: Conscious and Unconscious Aspects* from 2012, edited by Willock et al., and *Encounters with Loneliness: Only The Lonely* from 2013, edited by Richards et al. At the time of writing this text, they were quoted eight times each. We believe this is not a consequence of the quality of these two books, as they both offer inspiring insights, but of the surprising lack of interest among the potential audience.

This is particularly strange given that we have recently become aware that loneliness can also plague analysts, who might try to avoid it through overworking, abuse, or self-harm. To the best of our knowledge, Freud never described himself as lonely. But psychoanalysts of subsequent generations described their place behind the couch as lonely (Buechler, 1998, 2012; Greene & Kaplan, 1978; Schafer, 1995). Four chapters of the *Encounters with Loneliness* book are devoted to the psychoanalytic training process (pp. 159–219), and three chapters of *Loneliness and Longing* to the traumatised analyst’s loneliness (pp. 175–209). Even more striking are the findings by Sharon Klayman Farber, who interviewed a large number of psychotherapists only to find that

practicing psychotherapy can impede one’s ability to form healthy, fulfilling personal relationships when the relationships with one’s own patients [become] the sole source of fulfilling relationships ... more prone to mental illness, substance abuse, sexual actingout, and suicide. (2017, p. 37)

It is difficult to believe that psychotherapists are not alarmed by this and are not looking for solutions. Another problem is parochialism. Both the above-mentioned collections of essays by large groups of psychoanalysts (2012, 2013) take into account almost no research data about loneliness. In the same vein, the comprehensive *Handbook of Solitude* (Coplan & Bowker, 2014) includes only one chapter about psychoanalysis (Galanaki, 2014) with hardly any references to contemporary trends, and the most important loneliness researcher does not even mention psychoanalysis in a book of more than 300 pages (Cacioppo & Patrick, 2008). At the same time, the most prominent loneliness scholar is a philosopher (Mijuskovic, 2019), and a branch of philosophical analysis focused on aloneness, named monoseology, is being developed (Domeracki, 2020).

We thus hope to offer a comprehensive treatise of loneliness and solitude, firmly founded in cultural and philosophical contemplations, always consulting epidemiological, developmental, social, and neuroscience research, while retaining a clinical psychoanalytic focus. To achieve that, we tried to provide answers to five questions, which we will summarise here.

### What loneliness, what solitude?

One question comes to the fore at the very opening: Is loneliness a psychological phenomenon or an effect (and if so, of what)? Can you avoid loneliness or is it an anthropological condition, impossible to escape (Pohlmann, 2011)? And does loneliness exist in nature, independent of humans?

Many different terms are used when it comes to this topic and throughout this book: aloneness, loneliness, solitude, isolation, withdrawal, seclusion, privacy—these are only some of them. We would like to begin by disentangling them. Luckily, in English, that is not a demanding task, as different terms exist for different states.

The term *aloneness* means that someone is, temporarily or permanently, isolated from other people and does not have anyone to communicate with at that moment. This is a factual and psychological category and does not say anything about possible emotional reactions or wishes to change that situation.

*Loneliness* is not the state of being alone, though it is often mistaken as such. It is a painful feeling of estrangement or social separation from meaningful others; an emotional lack that concerns a person's place in the world. Although these two states frequently overlap, one can be alone and feel no pain about it or experience utter loneliness while surrounded by people.

At the opposite end, *solitude* is aloneness sought, sometimes even planned and desired, so that one can devote oneself to union with nature, creative activity, or religious ecstasy. The same person can experience aloneness one time as painful (loneliness) and another time as blissful (solitude).

### Why loneliness, why solitude?

The first reason to study loneliness and its effects is that it is a widespread, almost universal phenomenon and source of suffering. Recently, even governments have realised that loneliness is a problem they have to try to solve. In the UK, two years before the pandemic, in 2018, a Ministry of Loneliness was established, only a couple of decades after Margaret Thatcher brought forward the idea that “there’s no such thing as society”, when only self-interest seemed to count, and the “self-against-society” configuration became prominent. Indeed, people living in more individualistic societies report that they experience higher levels of loneliness (Barreto et al., 2021).

The “quantity” goes hand in hand with “quality”—loneliness is painful, difficult to endure, exhausting, both psychologically and physiologically. We now have a very clear picture of its disastrous effects on somatic health (and one chapter of this book is devoted to that) and it has long been obvious that loneliness can be both an important cause and a common consequence of mental disorders. Again, policymakers have to do something about this because loneliness turns out to be very costly if you count the number of work absences, hospital days, or indeed mortality rates. Many questions open for researchers as well, like what is the reason for loneliness to be so harmful, or how come human kind still has not developed better ways to prevent all this turmoil?

The situation with solitude is, one more time, completely the opposite, in that it is scarce, cherished, and might be instrumental for personality development and creativity. Despite all this, it is not understood nearly well enough and it is even more rarely supported. We can only hypothesise, but it seems that learning how to “guard” one’s own and other people’s solitude would bring abundant fruit.

### Where loneliness, where solitude?

It is also interesting that loneliness can have clear spatial and temporal boundaries. Prisons, for example, are institutions where social isolation, to the level of solitary cells, is used as a form of punishment. And even when some forms of social bond are developed between prisoners, the feelings of loneliness are pervasive, together with shame, alienation, and humiliation. The reasons for this are obvious—this form of aloneness is almost never chosen, there is always an element of coercion in coming to prisons and staying there until “the end of time”. The most horrifying examples of this are certainly the Nazi concentration camps and Soviet Gulags, both described by many survivors. The case can be frighteningly similar with psychiatric asylums, as many have witnessed since the memoirs, studies, or novels by the likes of Artaud, Goffman, and Ken Kessey; foster homes, especially for children who are repeatedly forced to move from one to the other; boarding schools, which are believed to cause a specific psychiatric syndrome; migrants in a queue before the administration opens in an early morning hour. Although some people join monasteries, military barracks, or refugee camps voluntarily, not only are some forced to do so but also long-term stays in any of them may lead to chronic isolation and



loneliness. There are also unexpected places of loneliness, like hotel rooms, where touring actors and singers, especially after long applause and feelings of narcissistic fulfilment, have to face empty and impersonal spaces again and again.

At many places of loneliness, the greatest torment is described as not being able or allowed to experience solitude, never having any privacy in the constant presence of unknown others. A recommendation related to this was made couple of decades ago (Deleuze, 1993, p. 188): the problem is not to make people talk, but to provide them with empty spaces of solitude and silence from which they would finally have something to say. The powers of oppression do not prevent people from talking; on the contrary, they force them to do so. But all of a sudden there is so much talking that no one listens and no one knows what to say. An actual therapeutic task for our age could be named—hearing silencing.

There are, however, places of solitude as well. The most readily available is (still) nature, which many use as a retreat, no matter their underlying idea. Stillness, quiet, silence, absence of people and human products—all of this can have recuperating effects on us. Others choose monasteries or other religious institutions to look for a moment of solitude in their search for God or the divine, spiritual, transcendent. And in every hectic and noisy large city, we can nowadays find many artists' ateliers and scientists' labs, where people isolate even from the beloved ones to be able to focus all their energy on creative work. As a rule, these places have special emotional value because they bear reminders of some valued moments or hopes of their repetition.

What may seem paradoxical is that some of the most relevant places of loneliness today are the internet and social media. The “social” media destruction of human networks produces the loneliness against which social media seem to be the cure. A recent study (Guntuku et al., 2019) compared Twitter messages of 6202 users using the word “lonely” or “alone” with another group of messages matched by age and gender, but without these words. Linguistic analyses were applied to compare both groups with respect to language markers of mental health and whether these markers could predict the frequency of words like “loneliness” and “alone”. Using these words indicated eating or sleep disorders, psychosomatic symptoms, and, more generally, open exchange of interpersonal difficulties; correlations between such disorders and the “loneliness”-vocabulary was high.

### **When loneliness, when solitude?**

Does loneliness have a history? Yes, it does, and even twofold—cultural and personal.

Historic changes started during the Early Modern Age, when the focus on personal, private, solitary grew very quickly, particularly through self-portraits, autobiographies, and soliloquies, but also due to the development of sciences, transportation, and large cities. Western societies are said to favour what has been called (political) individualism (Macpherson, 1962); they developed a language for loneliness which frames this new emotional state (Alberti, 2019). Today, we realise that loneliness can be understood by referring to “individualism” only

insufficiently.<sup>1</sup> Individualism also came with a high price. Two centuries were guided by unrestrained exploitation of nature. Epistemic separations of subject and object, established in Europe since the mid-seventeenth century can no longer be used as sharply, and today we gradually realise that this configuration included a “self against the natural world” and we have academic voices that allow us to think of nature as an actor (Latour & Schwibs, 2018).

As this kind of alarm cries have been raised over several years (Latour & Schwibs, 2018), a similar kind of reasoning is produced by psychoanalytic authors (Bollas, 2021; Lemma, 2005) who include in their subtle psychological considerations a rich perception and attentiveness towards political fights and societal changes influencing patients deeply although they are hardly aware of it. One of the created distinctions is the difference between “being seen or being watched” (Lemma, 2009). The wish to be seen meant to be recognised, to be made real in the perceiving eye of a loving person, and psychoanalysts learned from Heinz Kohut the importance of small children’s deep desire “to be seen” and to see the gleam in the eye of the mother when she perceives her child. The word “mother”, then, served as a substitute for a small social world in which even older children, adolescents, and adults want to be “seen” in order to realise a contact. The idea is that people are endowed with a kind of *sensory membrane* (Berardi, 2011), which is set in vibration by others’ gazes (and other forms of exchange) that cannot be expressed in words.<sup>2</sup>

Contemporary social media extended the possibilities of communication in a hitherto completely unknown way, but they cannot replace the role and function of the significant other. They replace “seeing” by “watching”—but all too often by persons completely anonymous. The “significant other”, a central term of the early social interaction theory (G. H. Mead, 1932) was considered the constituent of individuality. It had a forerunner under the poetic name of a “soulmate” or a “companion” (Braten, 2013), someone to be found complementing one’s own incompleteness. This metaphor of completing one’s self has traces in distant history. It meant the coherent fitting of soul, body, and state, and can be found in the myth by Aristophanes as retold in Plato’s *Symposium*. Man and woman in ancient times, Aristophanes tells us, formed a unit, so full of happiness and joy that the gods became envious and split this unit into two. We mention it here to show why “communication” alone excludes the “significant other” and leads to loneliness. “To communicate” has changed its meaning and is widely understood as delivering sheer information via digital media, while Latin *communicare* meant “to share” one’s presence with others.

It is also possible to think that the experience of loneliness changes with age in an individual’s life. Although we can never reach complete autonomy from others, it does seem that with time our dependent needs become less urgent or less a matter of life and death. In the beginning, another person regulates our hunger, warmth, cleanliness, as well as the state of

---

<sup>1</sup> The Japanese example of Hikikomori (Teo et al., 2014)—young adolescents who stay for months or even years in their homes—shows that individualism is only one component on a path to understanding loneliness.

<sup>2</sup> Infant researchers named this “together-knowledge” (Braten, 2013, p. 158).

our nascent self—or its very existence. This function then becomes internalised and turns into a progressively more mature capacity to enjoy time on one's own (albeit in the presence of the invisible form of the other inside oneself). This is, however, a very optimistic (and perforce superficial) description of a process that can go awry at countless corners. Some children indeed grow up in overwhelming aloneness (which we usually call neglect). They can be in the physical presence of parents who are divorced, self-obsessed, depressed, drunk, work double shifts, or they are separated from parents partly or altogether. The consequence of this can be the lack of social skills necessary for sharing inner experiences with others (outward loneliness, as it were) and the incapacity to understand one's own behaviour, choices, or decisions, which can result in a specific type of inner loneliness. Sometimes children invent a phantasy comrade in order to overcome the agony of being alone.

But loneliness can haunt us long after the childhood is over. The largest ever survey about loneliness (46,054 subjects from 237 countries—Barreto et al., 2021) found that it has its most painful effects on young men from individualistic countries and that it decreases with age. So it seems that young men lack some socio-emotional skills to establish bonds that prevent the feeling of isolation that most people acquire over time. Alternatively, it could be that by old age other people become less important and we turn to other priorities or preparations to die.<sup>3</sup>

Finally, loneliness may be more frequent or resonate more prominently at specific points of our lives. At the times of loss, we may miss the beloved intensely and lose hope that overcoming loneliness will ever be possible; while recovering from mental disorders, and especially if stigmatised, we may feel that no one can or indeed wants to understand us; in the cases of political persecution or silencing, victims may feel abandoned even by the one-time closest friends and be incapable of showing who they actually are.

### How loneliness, how solitude?

The simplest illustration for a How-question is to think of people who feel lonely—and others have withdrawn from them. To understand them, we will use the so-called “P-theory” (Causadias, 2020), which focuses on the following four elements in order to better analyse culture:

- “*People* refer to population dynamics, social relations, and culture in groups, including families, communities, and nations” (Causadias, 2020, p. 315)
- As already discussed, there are *places* where loneliness is strongly experienced
- *Participation* rules widely determine one's loneliness. In the evening outside a discotheque, the bouncers sort out who is allowed in and who is not; not observing a dress code leads to

---

<sup>3</sup>Storr (1988, pp. 168–184) has noticed a specific form of solitude among creative persons in old age, which he calls “the third period”, when the works are 1) less concerned with communication, 2) unconventional in form, 3) show no need to convince, and are 4) “exploring remote areas of experience which are intrapersonal or suprapersonal rather than interpersonal”.

exclusion; people in certain neighbourhoods never get to have their children attend certain schools or universities

- *Practices* of imposition on young girls in a school class have been carefully described (Goodwin, 2006, pp. 223ff.). Coming from a certain neighbourhood and community engagement with lower socio-economic status are reasons enough for the feeling of loneliness to increase.

Among many possible examples, we will focus here on the so-called lone-wolf terrorists. The name of lone-wolf is an ideological right-wing invention to endow these people with an aura of heroism. However, researchers show that these people announce their deeds and more often than not communicate to their companions via the internet that they are on the way and express wonder that nobody reacts to their threats. School bombers behave similarly: they tend to show their weapons to classmates the day before the action, announce their deeds and talk via the internet. There is a strong impression that they all plead to be stopped.

*Power* is one of the core features in understanding *how* loneliness (and many other social phenomena) is produced. “Power is executed over people, in places, by practices” (Causadias, 2020, p. 318), and it defines human relationships in many direct and indirect ways, in fine-grained levels and a rich variety of frames, and often results in the production of (hidden) shame. When it comes to loneliness, the role of power has already been briefly mentioned here. The experience of aloneness crucially depends on whether it is voluntary or imposed. If I choose, or even better, organise it myself, it can be an enjoyable solitary time. But if others decide and enforce on me that I have to spend long or unlimited or unpredictable time in a camp, prison, asylum, I may try to protest and rebel but will most probably end up humiliated and lonely.

### Treating loneliness, enhancing solitude?

What then can we offer those haunted by loneliness? And are there ways to support or protect solitude, in oneself and in others?

Can loneliness be cured like a malady or is it an overall human condition? Some strongly recommend to “do nothing” (Odell, 2019), to refrain from attention economy, to switch off your computer, not start a day with reading emails—and to regain the ability to observe bees in a garden, to hear the birds sing, to listen to the rain, to enjoy nature’s beauty and silence in a forest. Yes—but there are devastated and destroyed landscapes where no birds sing, and no raindrops fall. They are silent but this silence frightens us. No simple solutions and quick fixes were discovered throughout the history of human kind for a problem as complex as loneliness. Whatever stands opposite to loneliness—friendship, love, family, community, psychotherapy, you name it—are phenomena equally complex to understand and fragile to sustain in one’s actual social life.

The other consequence of the invention of modern loneliness, which was previously outlined here, is that in modern days even solitude is often understood in a medical fashion and

has lost its positive connotation. And this includes treatment programmes. Today there are thousands of self-help books that promise to help readers find their special one; there are also numerous books, guides, and programmes set up to support lonely people in their search for love, and even suicide-pacts arranged by those who do not succeed (Alberti, 2019, p. 79). Practising psychotherapy today is confronted with the strong influence of such suicide-pacts offered in the “social” media. “Involuntary celibates” (INCELS) aggressively fight against the assumed injustice of being excluded from “access” to women they find attractive.

These five questions pose great challenges to scientists, practitioners, and actually everyone who faces loneliness or enjoys solitude. This book is an attempt to shed light on these multifaceted phenomena that are everywhere around us yet remain under-investigated. We hope that the following twenty chapters will contribute to their profound understanding, help the lonely, be it patients or analysts, become better able to voice their loneliness, and support those in search of solitude that may be instrumental in reaching new insights.

## References

- Akhtar, S. (2009). *Comprehensive Dictionary of Psychoanalysis*. New York: Routledge.
- Alberti, F. B. (2019). *A Biography of Loneliness: The History of an Emotion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Barreto, M., Victor, C., Hammond, C., Eccles, A., Richins, M. T., & Qualter, P. (2021). Loneliness around the world: Age, gender, and cultural differences in loneliness. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *169*: 110066.
- Berardi, F. (2011). *After the Future*. Oakland, CA: AK Press.
- Bollas, C. (2021). *Three Characters: Narcissist, Borderline, Manic Depressive*. Bicester, UK: Phoenix.
- Braten, S. (2013). *Roots and Collapse of Empathy. Human Nature at Its Best and at Its Worst. Advances in Consciousness Research*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins. Retrieved from <http://gbv.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1249354> (last accessed August 19, 2021).
- Buechler, S. (1998). The analyst's experience of loneliness. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, *34*(1): 91–113.
- Buechler, S. (2012). Someone to watch over me. In: B. Willock, L. C. Bohm, & R. C. Curtis (Eds.), *Loneliness and Longing: Conscious and Unconscious Aspects* (pp. 13–27). London: Routledge.
- Cacioppo, J. T., & Patrick, W. (2008). *Loneliness: Human Nature and the Need for Social Connection*. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Causadias, J. M. (2020). What is culture? Systems of people, places, and practices. *Applied Developmental Science*, *24*(4): 310–322.
- Coplan, R. J., & Bowker, J. C. (Eds.) (2014). *The Handbook of Solitude: Psychological Perspectives on Social Isolation, Social Withdrawal, and Being Alone*. New York: Wiley-Blackwell, 2021.
- Deleuze, G. (1993). *Unterhandlungen (übers. von Gustav Roßler)*. Frankfurt, Germany: Suhrkamp.
- Domeracki, P. (2020). Three rival versions of a correlation between solitude and communitaliveness in a monoseological discourse. *Paedagogia Christiana*, *45*(1): 23–36.
- Farber, S. K. (Ed.) (2017). *Celebrating the Wounded Healer Psychotherapist: Pain, Post-traumatic Growth and Self-disclosure*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.

- Galanaki, E. (2014). The origins of beneficial solitude: psychoanalytic perspectives. In: R. J. Coplan & J. C. Bowker (Eds.), *The Handbook of Solitude: Psychological Perspectives on Social Isolation, Social Withdrawal, and Being Alone* (pp. 58–74). New York: Wiley-Blackwell, 2021.
- Goodwin, M. H. (2006). *The Hidden Life of Girls. Games of Stance, Status, and Exclusion*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Greene, M., & Kaplan, B. L. (1978). Aspects of loneliness in the therapeutic situation. *International Review of Psychoanalysis*, 5: 321–330.
- Guntuku, S. C., Schneider, R., Pelullo, A., Young, J., Wong, V., Ungar, L., Polsky, D., Volpp, K. G., & Merchant, R. (2019). Studying expressions of loneliness in individuals using Twitter: An observational study. *BMJ Open*, 9(11): e030355. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030355>.
- Latour, B., & Schwibs, B. (2018). *Das terrestrische Manifest*. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- Lemma, A. (2005). The many faces of lying. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 86: 737–753.
- Lemma, A. (2009). Being seen or being watched? A psychoanalytic perspective on body dysmorphia. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 90: 753–771.
- Lynch, A. A. (2013). Introduction. In: A. K. Richards, L. Spira, & A. A. Lynch (Eds.), *Encounters with Loneliness: Only the Lonely* (pp. xiv–xx). Astoria, NY: International Psychoanalytic Books.
- Macpherson, C. B. (1962). *The Political Theory of Possessive Individualism: Hobbes to Locke*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mead, G. H. (1932). *Mind, Self and Society*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Mijollja, A. D. (Ed.) (2005). *International Dictionary of Psychoanalysis (vol. 1) [Dictionnaire international de la psychanalyse: enhanced American version.]* Detroit, MI: Thomson/Gale.
- Mijuskovic, B. L. (2019). *Consciousness and Loneliness: Theoria and Praxis*. Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill.
- Odell, J. (2019). *How to Do Nothing: Resisting the Attention Economy*. New York: Melville House.
- Pohlmann, F. (2011). Einsamkeit. Anthropologische Erkundungen eines Gefühlszustandes. *Merkur—Deutsche Zeitschrift für europäisches Denken*, 65(740): 44–55.
- Richards, A. K., Spira, L., & Lynch, A. A. (Eds.) (2013). *Encounters with Loneliness: Only the Lonely*. Astoria, NY: International Psychoanalytic Books.
- Schafer, R. (1995). Aloneness in the countertransference. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly*, LXIV: 496–516.
- Storr, A. (1988). *Solitude: A Return to the Self*. New York: Free Press.
- Teo, A. R., Stafflebam, K. W., & Kato, T. A. (2014). The intersection of culture and solitude: the Hikikomori phenomenon in Japan. In: J. C. Bowker & R. J. Coplan (Eds.), *The Handbook of Solitude: Psychological Perspectives on Social Isolation, Social Withdrawal, and Being Alone* (pp. 445–460). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Waldinger, R. (2015). What makes a good life? Lessons from the longest study on happiness. *Harvard Study of Adult Development*, 28(8). Retrieved from [https://ecole-commercer.com/IMG/pdf/80\\_years\\_study\\_hapiness\\_harvard.pdf](https://ecole-commercer.com/IMG/pdf/80_years_study_hapiness_harvard.pdf).
- Willock, B., Bohm, L. C., & Coleman Curtis, R. (Eds.) (2012). *Loneliness and Longing: Conscious and Unconscious Aspects*. New York: Routledge.